1	NICOLA T. HANNA							
2	United States Attorney PATRICK R. FITZGERALD Assistant United States Attorney							
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, National Security Division							
4	MELISSA MILLS (Cal. Bar No. 248529) GEORGE E. PENCE (Cal. Bar No. 257595)							
5	Assistant United States Attorney Terrorism and Export Crimes Section							
6	1500 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street							
7	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-0627/2253 Facsimile: (213) 894-2927							
8	Email: Melissa.Mills@usdoj.gov George.Pence@usdoj.gov							
9	CHRISTIAN E. FORD (Cal. Bar No. 264564) Trial Attorney							
10	Counterintelligence and Export Control Section National Security Division							
11	Department of Justice							
12	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530							
13	Telephone: (202) 233-2049 Email: Christian.Ford@usdoj.gov							
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA							
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
16	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 15-704(A)-SJO							
18	Plaintiff, GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING POSITION							
19	v.							
20	RAMI NAJM ASAD GHANEM,							
21	aka "Rami Ghanem,"							
22	Defendant.							
23								
24	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel							
25	of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of							
26	California and undersigned counsel, hereby files Government's							

28

Sentencing Position.

Case 2:15-cr-00704-SJO Document 431 Filed 05/13/19 Page 2 of 53 Page ID #:4984

The government's sentencing position is based upon the attached sentencing memorandum and exhibits, the files and records in this case, the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report, and such further evidence and argument as the Court may permit. Dated: May 13, 2019 Respectfully submitted, NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney PATRICK R. FITZGERALD Assistant United States Attorney Chief, National Security Division MELISSA MILLS GEORGE E. PENCE Assistant United States Attorneys Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	DESC	RIPTI	ON				PAGE
3	TABL	E OF 2	AUTHO:	RITIE	S		1
4	MEMO]	RANDUI	M OF	POINT	s and	AUTHORITIES	1
5	I.	SUMM	ARY O	F GOV	ERNME	NT'S POSITION	1
6	II.	FACT	UAL B	ACKGR	OUND .		2
7		Α.	Proc	edura	l His	tory	2
8		В.	The	Under	cover	Transaction	3
9		С.	Defe Acti	ndant vitie	s Pr	olific Unlawful Arms Trafficking elated to the Undercover Transaction	5
10 11			1.	Docu	ments	ons, Offers, Contracts, and Other Offering a Snapshot of Defendant's me, High-Dollar Illegal Arms Business	5
12							•• 5
13				a.	Cont	ndant's Negotiation and Execution of a ract to Purchase a Quarter Billion ars in Arms and Ammunition	6
14 15				b.	Hund	ndant's Signed Contract to Broker reds of Rocket-Propelled Grenade	0
16						chers and Related Munitions to Egypt	9
17				c.	Usin	ndant's Shipment of Ammunition to Libya g a Fraudulent Malawian End-User ificate	. 11
18 19				d.	Repr	esentative Examples of Defendant's Other gal Arms Transactions	. 12
20					(A)	Defendant's Brokering of Various Arms and Ammunition to Libya	. 12
21 22					(B)	Defendant's Brokering of M240 Machine Guns to Egyptian Military	
23					(C)	Defendant's Brokering of 1,400,000	
24						Rounds of Assault-Rifle Ammunition to the Egyptian Military	. 13
25				e.	Defe	ndant's Offers and Negotiations to Buy	
26					and Airc	Sell Multi-Million Dollar Combat raft	. 14
27			2.			Records Reflecting Some of the Massive efendant Gained From the Crimes of	
28						m	. 15
						4	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

2	DESC:	RIPTI	ON			\underline{P}	AGE
3		D.	Defe Air	endant craft	's Co Missi	onspiracy to Use and to Transfer Anti-	25
4	III.	GOVE	RNMEI	NT'S S	ENTEN	ICING POSITION AND RECOMMENDATION	27
5		Α.	Sen	tencin	g Gui	delines Calculations	27
6			1.	The	Appli	cable Guidelines	27
7 8			2.			t's Response to Defendant's Objections	28
9				a.		ection to Paragraphs 13 through 26 of the	28
10				b.	Obje	ection to Paragraphs 38 through 59	29
11				С.	Obje	ection to Paragraphs 60-61	30
12		В.				ald Impose a Sentence Including a Prison	
13						han 25 Years Based on the Factors in 18 a)	30
14			1.	Natu	re, C	ircumstances, and Severity of the	
15				Offe	nses .		30
16			2.	Hist	ory a	nd Characteristics of the Defendant	33
17 18				а.	Defe Disr	endant's Motive of Greed and Wanton egard for Human Life	33
				b.	Defe	endant's Relevant Conduct	35
19 20					(A)	Defendant's Pursuit of Black-Market Uranium	35
21					(B)	Defendant's Counterfeit Currency	0.0
22						Operations	36
23					(C)	Defendant's Pursuit of a Counterfeit Passport	37
24					(D)	Defendant's Involvement in Other Illegal Activity	39
25				c.	Defe:	ndant's False Statements to USPO	41
26			3.	Avoi		of Sentencing Disparities	
27			- •			J 1	
28							

1			TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)	
2	DESC	RIPTI	ON	GE
3		С.	The Court Should Impose a Fine of \$300,000 After	
4			Defendant's Attorneys Have Been Fairly Compensated for Their Services	44
5	IV.	GOVE	RNMENT'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SENTENCING POSITION	14
6	v.	CONC	LUSION	16
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

Case 2:15-cr-00704-SJO Document 431 Filed 05/13/19 Page 5 of 53 Page ID #:4987

1 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 2 DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Cases 4 United States v. Al-Kassar, 660 F.3d 108 (2d Cir. 2011) 42 5 United States v. Bout, 731 F.3d 233 (2d Cir. 2013) 42 6 7 United States v. Chen, 8 United States v. Cromitie, 727 F.3d 194 (2d Cir. 2013) 42 9 10 United States v. Garavito-Garcia, 11 United States v. Hammadi, 12 13 United States v. Lakhani, 14 United States v. Pouryan, 15 16 Statutes 17 18 19 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A) 2 20 18 U.S.C. § 2332g passim 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) v, 29, 30 21 22 22 U.S.C. § 2778 2 23 Rules 24 25 USSG § 2K2.1 27 26 27 USSG § 2S1.1 27 28

	Case 2:15-cr-00704-SJO Document 431 Filed 05/13/19 Page 7 of 53 Page ID #:4989
1	USSG § 2K2.1(a)(5)
	USSG § 2K2.1(a) (3)
2	USSG § 2K2.1(b) (3) (A)
3	
4	USSG § 2S1.1(b)(2)(B)28
5	Other Authorities
6	150 Cong. Rec. S11939-01 31
7	S11997, 2004 WL 2812449 31
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. SUMMARY OF GOVERNMENT'S POSITION

For years, defendant bought, sold, and brokered the sale of millions of rounds of ammunition, each of which had the potential and the purpose to end a human life. The machine guns, assault rifles, mortars, rocket-propelled grenades, and anti-tank weapons that were also his stock and trade had virtually limitless potential to sow death and destruction both on and off the battlefield, particularly when coupled with the unending stream of ammunition that defendant marketed to feed those weapons. Defendant was undoubtedly aware that the machinery of death in which he trafficked had the power to shatter (and may have shattered) countless lives, including civilian lives. He was cavalier about those lives and his role in endangering them for his own financial benefit, and he admitted to being indifferent to the possibility that his weapons and ammunition would be used against civilians. Defendant's callous disregard for human life in pursuit of riches is particularly aggravating.

Defendant also conspired to transfer, and to use, surface-to-air missiles. Because of the unique danger that anti-aircraft missiles present to commercial aircraft and to other U.S. national security interests, the conspiracy to transfer or use even one of these weapons carries a mandatory minimum penalty of 25 years' imprisonment. Defendant procured anti-aircraft missile operators to shoot down airplanes operated by the democratically elected and internationally recognized government of Libya and offered these mercenaries a \$50,000 bonus to do so. In addition to that conspiracy to use shoulder-fired anti-aircraft missiles, defendant also sought to enrich himself by selling many hundreds of anti-aircraft missiles

of all varieties to militant factions and governments around the world. Defendant's efforts to proliferate these weapons on the global black market jeopardized civilians, U.S. military forces, allied forces, U.S. diplomacy and foreign policy, and other national security interests.

The 25-year mandatory minimum is insufficient in light of the breadth and gravity of defendant's efforts to use and to transfer anti-aircraft missiles, his brokering of vast quantities of almost limitless types of heavy weapons of war and ammunition over the years, and his acknowledged disregard for human life in pursuit of personal wealth. The government respectfully recommends that the Court impose a sentence including a prison term of more than 25 years.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Procedural History

On December 22, 2015, defendant was charged in a four-count indictment (the "original Indictment") with violations of 22 U.S.C. § 2778 (Arms Export Control Act), 18 U.S.C. § 554 (Smuggling), and 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A) (Money Laundering). A superseding indictment filed on March 24, 2017, charged defendant with three additional counts alleging violations of 18 U.S.C. § 371 (Conspiracy, Count 1), 22 U.S.C. § 2778 (Arms Export Control Act, Count 2), and 18 U.S.C. § 2332g (Conspiracy to Use and to Transfer Missile Systems Designed to Destroy Aircraft, Count 3) (the "First Superseding Indictment" or "FSI").

On October 29, 2018, without a plea agreement, defendant entered pleas of guilty to the four-count original indictment and Counts 1 and 2 of the FSI. On October 30, 2018, trial commenced as to Count 3

of the FSI. On November 15, 2018, the jury return a verdict of guilty.

The United States Probation Office (USPO) disclosed the presentence report (PSR) on January 28, 2019. On April 1, 2019, defendant filed objections to the PSR, as detailed below. Thereafter, the prosecution and the USPO engaged in several communications about the Sentencing Guidelines calculations. As of the date of filing, the USPO had not made a final decision as to its recommended calculations. The government's Sentencing Guidelines analysis is provided below. The sentencing hearing is set for June 3, 2019.

B. The Undercover Transaction

Beginning in July 2014, defendant sought to illegally purchase from a Homeland Security Investigations ("HSI") undercover agent a large volume of weapons, munitions, and night vision optics.

Defendant's opening bid in these negotiations requested "as many as you have" of machine guns, assault rifles, sniper rifles, rocket-propelled grenades, mortars, missiles, and ammunition of every available caliber. On numerous occasions, defendant acknowledged that their business was being conducted "illegally" and "under the table." In communications with the undercover agent, defendant described some of his many other "black market" arms transactions and detailed his regular business practice of using "cover" contracts to conceal the illicit nature of his weapons business.

The list of military articles that defendant sought from the undercover agent in connection with what defendant described as his \$2 billion per year business shifted over time. Among the items he sought from the undercover agent were Hellfire air-to-ground

missiles, TOW anti-tank guided missiles, and surface-to-air missiles. Defendant told the undercover agent about his ties to the leadership of Hezbollah, which is designated as a foreign terrorist organization by the U.S. State Department, and explained that he had high-level contacts in the governments of numerous countries and militant groups around the world, including the Chinese government, the Saudi Arabian government, the Jordanian and Egyptian militaries, high-level Iranian customers, and the Libya Dawn rebel militant faction, among others -- claims that were corroborated by defendant's records. Eventually, in August 2015, defendant settled on what he described as a small initial \$220,000 order to include .50-caliber sniper rifles, pistols, silencers, ammunition, and night-vision optics, promising to negotiate much larger future orders if the undercover agent was able to deliver that first shipment. Defendant made two \$90,000 payments toward this order and made arrangements to inspect the weapons in Greece in December 2015, where he was arrested on a complaint. subsequent original indictment alleged violations of the Arms Export Control Act, smuggling, and money laundering.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

27

See

¹⁹

²⁰

²¹

²²

¹ Defendant's primary business contact in the Libya Dawn faction
23 was the self-styled "prime minister" of that group, Khalifa AlGhawil. On April 19, 2016, a few months after defendant's arrest,
the U.S. Department of the Treasury designated Al-Ghawil as a
"Specially Designated National." See

²⁵ https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/sanctions/OFAC-Enforcement/Pages/20160419.aspx (last accessed May 3, 2019). 26 result of this designation, which is reserved for countries su

result of this designation, which is reserved for countries subject to sanctions as well as hostile individuals and groups such as terrorists and narcotics traffickers — Al-Ghawil's assets were

blocked and U.S. citizens were prohibited from dealing with him.

https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/sanctions/SDN-

List/Pages/default.aspx (last accessed May 3, 2019).

2.7

C. Defendant's Prolific Unlawful Arms Trafficking Activities Unrelated to the Undercover Transaction

At defendant's arrest in Greece, authorities seized 19 digital devices from his person and his hotel room. On these devices, agents discovered further evidence of defendant's prolific global armstrafficking business, including numerous communications reflecting defendant's efforts to buy and sell a wide array of heavy weapons.

1. Negotiations, Offers, Contracts, and Other Documents
Offering a Snapshot of Defendant's High-Volume, HighDollar Illegal Arms Business

Defendant's communications and other records over the years before his arrest offer a window into the vast dimensions of his lucrative international arms-trafficking business. From the volumes of defendant's negotiations, requests, quotations, offers, contracts, invoices, and other documents reflecting his frenetic efforts to profit from the brokering and sale of as many weapons and other munitions as he possibly could, the government below details multiple executed and/or completed deals, as well as several illustrative examples of defendant's other efforts to close illegal arms deals that may or may not have seen fruition.²

This uncertainty as to whether or not particular transactions were completed is because only a fraction of defendant's communications and other business records were available to investigators. Since the government's access was limited to certain email or Skype communications that defendant chose to retain in his email and/or on penetrable devices that he brought to Greece, the investigation essentially cast a beam of light into the dark shadows of defendant's illegal activity, with much of that activity still obscured. In light of defendant's documented penchant for moving sensitive communications to the many encrypted messaging applications that he used, or to telephonic or in-person conversations, those gaps will remain. In addition, due to defendant's and his coconspirators' reliance on overseas banks and shell companies, the only bank and corporate records available to U.S. law enforcement were those attached to emails that defendant retained.

a. Defendant's Negotiation and Execution of a Contract to Purchase a Quarter Billion Dollars in Arms and Ammunition

Throughout the spring of 2015, defendant pursued massive quantities of arms and ammunition to sell to his customer in Libya for hundreds of millions of dollars. These efforts ultimately led defendant to negotiate and sign a contract to illegally broker \$250,000,000 in munitions, including missiles, rockets, mortars, grenade launchers, sniper rifles, assault rifles, and ammunition, to a militant faction in Libya.

Defendant's communications reveal his awareness that his customer for this transaction, the Libya Dawn militant faction, was the subject of United Nations sanctions:

- Exhibit 5083: Defendant stated: "can you supply Libya...
 Tripoli EUC." His prospective supplier replied: "Not sure if Libya EUC is even recognized."
- Exhibit 511: Defendant stated: "Ivan. . . was very clear that he can't supply to Libya."

Thus, defendant had difficulty procuring a supplier that would sell to him with an end-user certificate from Tripoli. As one prospective supplier told him, "we know current official Government of Libya is not any more in Tripoli!!!" (Exhibit 502) Defendant thus began to explore ways to covertly mask the ultimate destination of this

Nonetheless, given the longstanding relationships between defendant and his repeat suppliers and buyers that endured across multiple transactions, one may reasonably infer that defendant was ultimately able to perform as he promised. Had he not done so, his contacts in the ruthless and lawless world of illegal arms trafficking would have — at a minimum — ceased dealing with him.

³ References are made herein to Exhibits and Trial Exhibits. Where an exhibit was admitted at trial and is already part of the record, it is referenced as a Trial Exhibit; otherwise, it is referenced as an Exhibit. Copies of all referenced Exhibits are submitted along with this memorandum.

illegal shipment of arms and ammunition, specifically by purchasing an end-user certificate to falsely reflect the ultimate end user:

- Exhibit 507: Defendant stated: "we are dealing with Tripoli government and it's very hard now days due to the mix up between the two sides of Libya. If you can find me a source from one of those countries not so much attached to UN matter such as Albania."
- Exhibit 508: Defendant proposed to a co-conspirator, who had advised that the Libya end-user certificate would not be recognized, "Maybe you can give me an offer for the items I had sent by email using country Belize EUC and also to support your work Tripoli EUC." The co-conspirator agreed that they could try this scheme.
- Exhibit 535: Defendant contacted a prospective supplier and advised, "we are looking for a good contact with Head of the army or president in any African countries to help us in the supply of ammunitions to help us [either] by selling us directly from their old stock and they buy a new one or help us in issuing an end user certificate and we will pay. . . for the service."

Defendant continued to pursue a supplier for this large transaction, which defendant preferred to structure as a contract encompassing a small "trial" shipment before the much larger full shipment would be made. At the conclusion of negotiations with the selected supplier, Quisianto Trading Limited, defendant and the supplier ultimately signed a contract to broker \$250,000,000 in arms and ammunition to Libya.

- Exhibit 516: Defendant's prospective supplier sent defendant a draft contract including an annex described as a "trial with small quantities." The "small quantities" envisioned by this contract consisted of \$17,862,700 in anti-tank missiles, rockets, mortars, and ammunition.
- Exhibit 517: Defendant forwarded the draft contract for the \$17,862,700 small trial order to his customer in Libya was the notation, "This is the correct agreement to be signed."

- Exhibit 518: The end-user certificate from the Libya Dawn militant faction for millions of rounds of ammunition, tens of thousands of (each) mortars and rockets, thousands of missiles, and other munitions listed the supplier as "Gateway to MENA for Logistics Services, represented by Mr. Rami Ghanem." The reference number on the end-user certificate was 8628-57.
- Exhibit 519-522: Defendant and his customer exchanged emails wherein the customer amended the quantities of munitions listed in the end-user certificate.
- Exhibits 524-530: Defendant contacted several suppliers with whom he had worked on other illegal arms deals to find a supplier for the munitions required for this transaction.
- Exhibits 531-534: A co-conspirator, Tahsin Ammouri, offered defendant some of the munitions he was seeking and told defendant that he could send them to Libya. Defendant expressed doubt that the Tripoli end-user certificate would be accepted, but Ammouri assured him that it would. Defendant proposed that a "trial order" include 23mm, 14.5mm, and 127mm ammunition. Defendant contacted his Libyan client with information about the proposed deal.
- Exhibit 536-537: Defendant and Ammouri exchanged legal documentation, including a power of attorney and an amendment to the end-user certificate.
- Exhibit 540-541: Ammouri sent defendant an invoice for \$249,591,800 as the purchase price of the contract; and an annex reflecting a first delivery of ammunition for \$26,808,960.
- Exhibit 542: Defendant's customer, the self-styled prime minister of the Libya Dawn faction, sent defendant a new end-user certificate for ammunition and rockets.
- Exhibit 543: Ammouri sent defendant a draft of the contract for listed arms and ammunition at a total purchase price of \$249,500,800; and an annex reflecting the "small quantity of first trial shipment," for \$4,032,440. The contract document and the annex reflected the invoice number "8628-57," which is the reference number of defendant's Libyan end-user certificate.

- Exhibit 544: Ammouri sent to defendant a modified version of the contract reflecting, per defendant's request, a small trial shipment of \$2,500,000 in ammunition.
- Exhibit 546: Ammouri sent defendant the "final contract" containing all amendments as requested by defendant, and requested that defendant provide his banking details.
- Exhibit 547: Defendant returned to Ammouri the final contract for \$250,000,000 in arms and ammunition, with a trial order for \$2,489,970 in ammunition. Defendant initialed every page of the contract and signed and stamped his business seal on the final page.
- Exhibit 549: Defendant provided his banking details to Ammouri as required by the contract.
- Exhibit 550: Ammouri sent defendant the last page of the signed and stamped quarter-billion contract No. 8628-57, which contained signatures, business seals, and initials of both defendant as the buyer of the arms and ammunition and Alexei Kharlanov of Quisianto Trading Limited as the seller.
 - b. Defendant's Signed Contract to Broker Hundreds of Rocket-Propelled Grenade Launchers and Related Munitions to Egypt

Through the fall of 2015, defendant facilitated the supply of rocket-propelled grenades and launchers to the Egyptian Ministry of Defense. Defendant's negotiations successfully culminated in a contract signed just days before his arrest. The contract provided for the supplier, Care Transenergy Ltd., to sell 500 RPG-7 rocket-propelled grenade (RPG) launchers, 500 PGO-7V optical sights for RPG launchers, and related munitions, for a purchase price of \$1,202,500.

- Exhibit 610: Defendant sent a signed and initialed contract to his Egyptian business partner. The appendix listed the above-specified munitions as subject of contract and purchase price of \$1,202,500.
- Exhibit 611: Defendant and his supplier discussed sending original contract by DHL.

As the broker for this contract, defendant received a commission of hundreds of thousands of dollars.

- Exhibit 600: The offer defendant received from his supplier included a 20% commission for defendant's Egypt-based company.
- Exhibit 604: Defendant explained to his supplier, "in your offer you will add our commission" and sent him the specific procedures for how to do so.

This contract may have been another example — like defendant's quarter-billion dollar ammunition contract and his relatively small order of munitions to test the undercover agent's capabilities — of the common practice of preceding massive arms shipments with smaller test orders. From the initial order and continuing throughout the course of negotiations, the customer's supply requirements specified the intended purchase of a much higher volume of RPGs, launchers, and other munitions.

- Exhibit 600: Defendant's supplier's initial offer responded to defendant's request for a proposal for 50,000 PG7V rocket-propelled grenade training projectiles, 28,000 live RPG projectiles, 1,100 RPG launcher sets, and 1350 RPG optical sights, for a total of €21,335,500.
- Exhibit 604: In this message thread between defendant and his supplier, defendant specified requirements for munitions to include 50,000 training RPG projectiles, 20,000 live RPG projectiles, and tens of thousands of live RPG heads and fuses.
- Exhibit 605: Defendant forwarded to his business partner and co-conspirator the list of munitions referenced in Exhibit 604 and specifications therefor.
- Exhibit 606: Defendant forwarded same list of munitions and specifications to alternative prospective suppliers.

• Exhibit 608: Defendant's supplier confirmed the availability of 50,000 live RPGs and 50,000 fuses. Earlier in the thread, an email from defendant's business partner specified the customer's initial request for 20,000 live

RPGs, 50,000 training RPGs, and 14,000 fuses, and noted that due to their contacts with the Egyptian military, no other supplier would be chosen if they made a competitive offer.

c. Defendant's Shipment of Ammunition to Libya Using a Fraudulent Malawian End-User Certificate

In June and July of 2015, defendant and his co-conspirators prepared to and did ship over a million rounds of ammunition to Libya using a fraudulent end-user certificate. Defendant's co-conspirator explained that the planes would stop in Lilongwe, Malawi, allegedly for refueling, where the ammunition would be offloaded and shipped to Misrata, Libya, with the assistance of Malawian officials in exchange for a \$90,000 bribe. (Exhibit 565.) Defendant described this method of delivery as the "black way." (Exhibit 559.)

- Exhibits 555-567: This series of emails between defendant and his co-conspirator/business partner shows the final logistical arrangements for two "fruit planes," each bearing an illegal shipment of weapons and ammunition that defendant had brokered and sold to his customer in Libya. This transaction was accomplished using a fraudulent enduser certificate illegally purchased from Malawi for a 6.5% portion of the total transaction price, which reflected the following arms and munitions (Exhibit 557):
 - o 40,000 7.62 assault rifles
 - o 30,000,000 rounds of small-arms ammunition (12.7mm, 7.62mm, and 14.5mm)
 - o 1,000,000 rounds of 23mm anti-aircraft ammunition
 - o 1,500 anti-tank grenade launchers
 - o 20,000 anti-tank grenades
 - o 1,500 7.62mm machine guns
 - o 39,000 mortar shells (60mm, 81mm, and 120mm)

Defendant's two "fruit planes" described in these communications delivered 247,820 rounds of 23mm and 14.5mm anti-aircraft ammunition, 126,000 rounds of 12.7mm machine gun ammunition, and 1,355,200 rounds of 7.62mm assault rifle ammunition to feed Libya's civil war.

28 (Exhibit 566.)

d. Rej

Representative Examples of Defendant's Other

Illegal Arms Transactions

The following representative communications illustrate

defendant's efforts to broker hundreds of millions of dollars in various munitions beyond the transactions and commodities proven at trial or in the factual basis for defendant's guilty pleas in which he admitted to brokering and conspiring to transfer the many defense articles and defense services charged in the indictment.

- (A) Defendant's Brokering of Various Arms and Ammunition to Libya
- Exhibit 510: Pursuant to defendant's request, an Israeli co-conspirator sent defendant pro forma invoices for large quantities of various missiles, rockets, mortars, grenade launchers, assault rifles, and ammunition totaling \$219,615,450.
- Exhibit 515: Defendant sent to a co-conspirator a contract for the purchase of a large volume of missiles, rockets, mortars, launchers, sniper rifles, and varying calibers of ammunition for \$338,183,200.
- Exhibit 502: Defendant negotiated his purchase of ammunition and various other munitions to sell to Libya. The seller's quotation included 160 T-72 battle tanks at a per-unit price of \$250,000.
- Exhibit 504: Defendant forwarded to his customer in the Libya Dawn militant faction specifications and photographs of various types of anti-aircraft ammunition.
- Exhibit 554: On December 3, 2015 five days before his arrest defendant engaged in negotiations with a coconspirator to purchase \$800,000 in 23mm anti-aircraft ammunition to sell to his customer in the Libya Dawn militant faction. Defendant promised to be in touch from Athens, where he was ultimately arrested on December 8, 2015.

- (B) Defendant's Brokering of M240 Machine Guns to Egyptian Military
- Exhibit 612: In an email thread between defendant and Israeli broker, they discussed defendant's request for 100 U.S.-made M240 machine guns to sell to Egypt. The broker quoted a total price of \$1,227,000.
- Exhibit 613: Defendant advised the Israeli broker that he can provide an end-user certificate, but that "if the country of the seller are from west Europe... it will not fly."
- Exhibit 614: Defendant received paperwork for the sale, including a "Nontransfer and Use Certificate" from the U.S. Department of State requiring certification that the machine guns would not be retransferred.
- Exhibits 615, 616: These exhibits reflect Egypt's request for defendant's proposal to sell M240 machine guns.
 - (C) Defendant's Brokering of 1,400,000 Rounds of Assault-Rifle Ammunition to the Egyptian Military
- Exhibits 617-625, 634-635, 637: In these communications, defendant negotiated the supply of 1,400,000 rounds of 7.62x52mm linked machine gun cartridges to the Egyptian army, with a 15% commission for defendant.
- Exhibits 627-633: Defendant's communications reflect his negotiation of the supply of large quantities of various arms and ammunition to the Egyptian military, including anti-aircraft guns, mortars, rocket-propelled grenades, machine guns, and assault rifles. After surveying his own suppliers, defendant sent his customer a quotation indicating he had procured 30,000 units of 122mm BM-21 Grad multiple rocket launchers, offered at \$950/unit before defendant's commission; and 10,000 7.62mm AKM assault rifles, each offered at \$175 before defendant's commission. (Exhibit 633)
- Exhibit 638: Defendant solicited an offer to supply 152mm cannons, 23mm and 14.5mm anti-aircraft guns, and SPG-9 anti-tank cannons to a customer in Iraq.
- Exhibits 640-647: Defendant negotiated to supply various arms to the Egyptian armed forces, including 900 machine guns, 20,000 assault rifles, and 500 rocket launchers with

optics. Defendant noted to one prospective supplier that this was a "small tender." (Exhibit 644)

- Exhibit 648: A week before his arrest, defendant detailed his current orders, which included 10,000,000 rounds of ammunition to South Africa, 100 SPG-9 anti-tank guns to Ethiopia, 4,000,0000 rounds of automatic rifle ammunition to Iraq, and 4,000,000 rounds of pistol ammunition to Iraq.
 - e. Defendant's Offers and Negotiations to Buy and Sell Multi-Million Dollar Combat Aircraft

Among the defense articles that defendant sought to broker and sell to other countries and militant groups were combat jets and helicopter gunships, which regularly come equipped with heavy armaments. Included in the numerous documents reflecting defendant's negotiations and offers to buy and sell these combat aircraft are the following:

- Exhibit 704: Defendant advised a fellow broker that he was "very interested in the MI 244 QTY 2 ready to go."
- Exhibit 707-708: Days later, another co-conspirator advised defendant of the availability of a "second MI-24" helicopter gunship for \$6,150,000. That day, defendant forwarded the specifications and photographs of the MI-24 to his customer in the Libya Dawn militant faction.
- Exhibit 710: Defendant contacted a fellow broker to request a quotation for two MI-24 helicopter gunships "ready to go for operation with full arms."
- Exhibit 714: Defendant's co-conspirator briefed him via email on the state of their "schedule[d] acquisition" of six MIG-29 fighter jets, 5 for which defendant and his co-conspirator had already agreed to pay an "official end price" of between \$8,700,000 and \$9,100,000, with an "unofficial additional price" of \$6,000,000.

 $^{^4}$ The Mil Mi-24 is a large, Russian-built, helicopter gunship, attack helicopter and low-capacity troop transport.

⁵ The Mikoyan MiG-29 is a twin-engine jet fighter aircraft designed in the Soviet Union as an air superiority fighter during the 1970s, to counter new U.S. fighters such as the McDonnell Douglas F-15 Eagle and the General Dynamics F-16 Fighting Falcon.

- Exhibit 736: Pursuant to his request for MI-24 combat helicopters and MiG-29 fighter jets, defendant received a quotation for five MI-24 at \$5,155,000 per helicopter. Defendant replied with interest, asking, "what type of arms does it include?"
 - 2. Financial Records Reflecting Some of the Massive Profits Defendant Gained From the Crimes of Conviction

The evidence gathered during the government's investigation of defendant also includes invoices, bank transfers, and other financial records documenting defendant's extensive brokering of defense articles and services, including the services of mercenary fighters to fight in foreign wars. Many of these records overtly confirm defendant's payments for defense articles and services through 2014 and 2015, including the following:

- Exhibit 800: Invoices from defendant's company to his customer in Libya reflect \$98,000 in helicopter armaments, \$690,000 in salary and fees for L39 attack aircraft operational crew, and \$1,800,000 in salary and fees for an F-1 fighter jet⁶ operational crew.
- Exhibit 801: Invoices from defendant's company to his customer in Libya reflect a total of \$3,685,740 in combat aircraft tools and parts and mercenary services.
- Exhibit 802: Emails between defendant and his coconspirator included business report reflecting payments of \$531,016 on the combat aircraft tools and parts and mercenary services reflected in Exhibit 801.
- Exhibit 803: An email report from defendant's business partner/co-conspirator to defendant reflects expenditures totaling \$68,600 relating to pilots for MIG-25 fighter jets.
- Exhibit 804: Defendant forwarded to his business partner the \$68,600 accounting report in Exhibit 803, but tacked on an additional \$30,000 profit for defendant. Defendant also took the first business partner's \$9,000 estimate for the services of combat aircraft technicians and inflated it by more than 50% before passing it along to the second

 $^{^{\}rm 6}$ The Dassault F1 is a French fighter and attack aircraft.

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

a complaint that the resulting total of \$98,600, with additional services for \$15,000, was "expensive."

business partner. The second business partner replied with

- Exhibit 805: An email to defendant from his co-conspirator accounted for many hundreds of thousands of dollars in mercenary services provided, and monthly profit for defendant on these transactions.
- Exhibit 806: Invoices to defendant's customer in Libya reflect a total of \$1,106,000 in defense articles and mercenary services.
- Exhibit 807: Invoices to defendant's customer in Libya reflect a total of €346,000 in parts for F-1 fighter jets and \$744,220 in mercenary services.
- Exhibit 808: Invoices to defendant's customer in Libya reflect a total of \$633,000 in defense articles and mercenary services.
- Exhibits 809-810: Emails to defendant from his coconspirator accounted for hundreds of thousands of dollars in mercenary services provided and related expenses, and monthly profits for defendant on these transactions.
- Exhibit 811: Invoices to defendant's customer in Libya reflect a total of \$2,490,000 in mercenary services for F-1 and L398 combat aircrews.
- Exhibit 812: An email to defendant from his co-conspirator accounted for mercenary services provided, and monthly profits for defendant on these transactions.

Other financial records from defendant's communications do not overtly indicate the purchase and sale of defense articles and mercenary services, but rather purport to reflect innocuous transactions for other goods and services. This evidence, which

 $^{^7}$ Defendant's willingness to siphon tens of thousands of dollars from his closest business partners by adding upwards of 30-50% profit for himself further illustrates his greed and his lack of regard even for those inside his circle of accomplices.

 $^{^{\}rm 8}$ The Aero L-39 Albatross is a Czechoslovakian high-performance jet trainer.

reflects defendant's regular and admitted use of cover documentation to conceal the illicit nature of the weapons and mercenary services in which he trafficked, includes the following:

- Exhibit 813: An email from defendant to his business partner attached two invoices to their customer in Libya. Defendant described the attachments as follows: "one to use as a cover for the money transfer and the 2nd one the original invoice for the services." The "cover" invoice purported to reflect the sale of 30 Toyota Hilux trucks for a total of \$744,220. The real invoice reflected the sale of mercenary air crew services and also totaled \$744,220.
- Exhibits 814-815, 816 at red-tabbed page only:
 Communications between defendant, his bank, and a coconspirator reflect defendant's receipt and laundering of
 the \$744,220 referenced in Exhibit 813.
- Exhibit 817: This exhibit shows a new cover invoice to defendant's customer in Libya for \$744,220 purporting to reflect sale of 30 Toyota Hilux trucks, but in fact reflected the sale of three more months of mercenary services.
- Exhibits 819-820: These contemporaneous emails contain two sets of invoices to defendant's customer. The first set purports to reflect the sale of 43 Toyota Hilux vehicles for a total of \$1,623,000. The second reflects the true sale of \$1,623,000 in defense articles, including a guided missile kit for a helicopter gunship, and mercenary services, including a crew of anti-aircraft missile operators.
- Exhibit 821: This invoice to defendant's company purported to reflect the \$335,829 purchase of computer equipment and related services, with a transmittal email to defendant from his co-conspirator explaining that the invoice in fact related to the mercenary services of a MI-24 helicopter gunship crew.
- Exhibit 822: This invoice to defendant's company purported to reflect \$66,099 and \$45,125 purchases of "building construction materials," with a transmittal email to defendant from his co-conspirator explaining that the invoice in fact related to the mercenary services of a MIG-23 fighter jet crew totaling \$111,224.

- Exhibit 823: This invoice to defendant's company purported to reflect the \$326,800 purchase of "building materials," with a transmittal email from his coconspirator explaining that the invoice in fact related to the mercenary services of a MI-24 helicopter gunship crew.
- Exhibits 824-826: This cover invoice from defendant's company to a Libyan customer purported to reflect the purchase of 27 GMC trucks for a total of \$875,363. Wire transfer records over the subsequent two days reflect payment from Libya Dawn militant faction to defendant's company in the total amount of \$875,363.
- Exhibits 827-833: Communications over successive days reflect various iterations of cover invoices to the Libya Dawn faction for a total of \$972,630, and payment to defendant's company in that amount. The cover commodities referenced in this transaction range from GMC and Toyota Hilux trucks to spare parts to generators to building materials to construction materials and scaffolding.
- Exhibits 834-835: Emails and a cover invoice from defendant's company reflect the purported sale to the Libya Dawn militant faction of \$500,000 in Toyota Hilux trucks, and resulting payment by Libya Dawn in that amount on that cover invoice.
- Exhibit 836: Three cover invoices from defendant's company to the Libya Dawn militant faction reflect a total amount owed of \$1,690,000, purportedly reflecting the purchase of 50 Toyota Land Cruiser trucks.
- Exhibit 837: Cover invoices to defendant's company from a co-conspirator shell company purportedly reflect the purchase of "building materials and transport services" in the amount of \$111,900. The transmittal email to defendant from his co-conspirator explained that the invoice in fact covered the salaries of mercenary crew members of MI-24 helicopter gunships.
- Exhibit 838: A cover invoice to defendant's company from a co-conspirator shell company purportedly reflected the purchase of "building materials" in the amount of \$25,000. By the transmittal email, defendant's co-conspirator advised defendant that this invoice covered expenses related to mercenary MI-24 crew members.

- Exhibit 840: Three cover invoices to defendant's company purportedly reflected the purchase of construction equipment in the total amount of \$249,159. The transmittal email advised defendant that these invoices covered MI-24 mercenary crew member salaries for two months and related expenses.
- Exhibit 840: A cover invoice to defendant's company purportedly reflected the purchase of building equipment in the amount of \$93,500. The transmittal email to defendant explained that the invoice actually covered payment for the services of mercenary L39 crew members.
- Exhibit 841: A cover invoice to defendant's company purportedly reflected \$33,467 due for the purchase of construction materials. The transmittal email to defendant stated that this invoice covered expenses related to mercenary MI-24 crew members.
- Exhibit 842: Three cover invoices to defendant's company purported to reflect the purchase of \$239,463 in building equipment. In the transmittal email, defendant's coconspirator explained to defendant that the invoices covered salary and expenses related to mercenary crew members of L39 and MI-24 combat aircraft.
- Exhibits 843-844: Two cover invoices to defendant's company purportedly reflected the purchase of cement totaling \$240,800. The transmittal email advised defendant that these invoices covered salaries for L39 and MI-24 combat air crews. A SWIFT bank record reflects payment to defendant's company on one of these invoices.

One set of documents shows particularly clearly defendant's systematic and methodical use of cover documentation. On May 3, 2015, defendant emailed a contact at a generator company asking for updated pricing information on generator equipment. (Exhibit 845) The following day, the contact replied with the requested pricing information, which defendant emailed to his business partner and co-conspirator in the following Word document on May 5, 2015:

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

S/N	Standard parts					
1.	Generator System	Blade				
2. 3.	20KW	Generator Yaw Shaft				
4.	Dumping Load	-				
5.	Off grid Rectifier / Dumping Controller					
6.	Siemens PLC Controller					
7.	Off grid Inverter (Single-phase)					
8. 6	Guyed tower(12m)					
9. 7	Free Standing Tower(12m)					
10.	with Guyed Tower					
11.	with Free Standing Tower					

(Exhibits 846-47)

Defendant's co-conspirator replied the same day with an email stating, "[a]s you wanted done for all transfers," and attached the following invoice purporting to cover the sale of the generator equipment specified in defendant's earlier email:

Description	Q-ty	Prise	Amount
Generator system 20 KW:			
blade	8	2520 USD	20160 USD
generator	8	2850 USD	22800 USD
Yaw shaft	8	2800 USD	22400 USD
Dumping Load	7	2130 USD	14910 USD
Off grid Rectifier / Dumping Controller	11	2655 USD	29205 USD
Siemens PLC Controller	9	5240 USD	47160 USD
Off grid Inverter (Single-phase)	18	1560 USD	28080 USD
Guyed tower(12m)	14	3420 USD	47880 USD
Free Standing Tower(12m)	9	2755 USD	24795 USD
with Guyed Tower	10	1580 USD	15800 USD
with Free Standing Tower	11	1710 USD	18810 USD
Total: Payment for building material			

(Exhibit 848)

Additional invoices and communications between defendant and his co-conspirator make use of these same generator commodities as cover items and reflect money transfers on those cover invoices. (Exhibits 849-862) Many of those invoices and communications further clarify that the cover terms mask the true nature of mercenary services.

(See, e.g., Exhibit 855 describing the cover invoice as for ("the new L39 salary for 5th month"); Exhibit 858 ("attached invoice for L39"), Exhibit 859 ("L39 Invoice"); Exhibit 861 ("Invoice Renault + L"); Exhibit 862 ("L39 + F")9; Exhibit 850 (referencing a team of F-1 pilots that defendant procured from Ecuador))

⁹ Defendant's communications reveal that he brokered the mercenary services of combat pilots and crew members for the F-1 fighter jet.

While the evidence — including defendant's own words — shows that he was driven by greed, defendant also took a personal interest in the destruction wrought by some of the mercenaries whom he sent into combat. In one message exchange with an F-1 fighter pilot operating in Libya, defendant requested information about a particular air attack, and the pilot replied with a report that the "main target [was] destroyed in Sirte" with a single bomb and gunfire. Defendant praised the pilot's successful offensive operation and gave him a "thumbs up" emoji. (Exhibit 735)

On the basis of that and other evidence, Counts One and Two of the FSI charged defendant with conspiracy to violate the Arms Export Control Act and unlawful brokering of weapons. In pleading guilty to those counts, defendant admitted to brokering and conspiring to transfer the following items:

Commodity
12.7-millimeter NSVT machine guns
7.62-millimeter AKS assault rifles
7.62-millimeter AKM assault rifles
7.62-millimeter PKM medium machine guns
7.62-millimeter SVD sniper rifles
Sniper rifles
CZ-999 pistols 9-millimeter pistols
Glock 9-millimeter pistols
AK-47 assault rifles
Dragonov sniper rifles
14.5-millimeter KPVT machine guns
60-millimeter mortar shells
81-millimeter mortar shells
120-millimeter mortar shells
7.62 x 39-millimeter ammunition
Ammunition
23-millimeter ammunition
Zsu-23-2 23-millimeter ammunition
5.56 x 45-millimeter ammunition

1	Commodity						
2	BS-41 14.5-millimeter ammunition						
	9 x 19-millimeter ammunition						
3	Zsu-57-2 57-millimeter anti-aircraft ammunition						
4	M51 37-millimeter anti-aircraft armor-piercing capped trace						
_	7.62 x 39-millimeter ammunition						
5	7.62 x 54-millimeter ammunition						
6	7.62 x 54-millimeter BKC ammunition						
_	12.7 x 108-millimeter ammunition						
7	Dishka 127 x 108-millimeter ammunition						
8	14.5 x 114-millimeter ammunition						
9	23 x 152-millimeter ammunition						
9	D20 152-millimeter tank rounds						
10	M48 76-millimeter tank rounds						
11	D30 122-millimeter towed howitzer heat tank rounds						
	12.7 x 108-millimeter ammunition						
12	RPG-7 anti-tank rocket-propelled grenade launcher						
13	Kornet anti-tank guided missile launchers						
	Kornet anti-tank guided missiles						
14	Igla 9K38 surface-to-air missile launchers						
15	Igla 9K38 surface-to-air missiles						
	MI-24 rocket launchers						
16	57-millimeter rockets						
17	80-millimeter rockets						
	122-millimeter S-13T rockets						
18	122-millimeter S-13 OF rockets						
19	130-millimeter rockets						
20	240-millimeter rockets						
20	GRAD 122-millimeter rockets						
21	122-millimeter GRAD rocket launcher						
22	107-millimeter GRAD rocket launcher						
	RPG-7 HEAT rounds						
23	AT-2 Swatter guided missiles						
24	AT-6 Spiral missiles						
	Konkurs anti-tank missile launchers						
25	Konkurs anti-tank missiles AGS-17 30-millimeter grenade launchers						
26	Anti-tank grenade launchers RPG-7						
	Anti-tank grenades PG-7V						
27	Fagot 9K111 anti-tank guided missile launchers						
28	Fagot 9M111 anti-tank guided missiles						
	rayor still after carry garaca misseries						

	Commodity
1	170 Osa 90-millimeter anti-tank guided missile launchers
-	179 Osa 90-millimeter anti-tank quided missile launchers
g	M133 Kornet (Konkurs) anti-tank guided missile launchers ncluding tripods and thermal sights
	M133 Kornet (Konkurs) anti-tank guided missiles
	5-millimeter RPG-7 anti-tank launcher including telescopic eight
F	G-7VL 85-millimeter HEAT projectiles
S	KIF anti-tank guided missile launchers
S	KIF anti-tank guided missiles
S	trela surface-to-air missile launchers
S	trela surface-to-air missiles
M	179 Osa RBR 90-millimeter anti-tank guided rocket launchers
M	179 Osa RBR 90-millimeter anti-tank guided rockets
M	179 Osa RBR 90-millimeter anti-tank guided rocket tubes
9	M151 Metis-M anti-tank guided missile launchers
9	M131 Metis-M anti-tank guided missiles
M	Metis-M tripod launchers
K	Conkurs tripod launchers
9	P163-1 Kornet tripod launchers
P	G-7VLT tandem-charge anti-tank warheads
F	G-7VR 85-millimeter HEAT tandem projectiles
S	hershen-D anti-tank guided missile launchers
S	hershen-D anti-tank guided missiles
S	pare parts for T-72 battle tanks
S	pare parts for BTR-80 amphibious armored personnel carriers
M	II-24 attack helicopters
	IIG-29 fighter jets
C	perators for Igla surface-to-air missile launchers
	echnicians for Igla surface-to-air missile launchers
Ί	rainers for Igla surface-to-air missile launchers
S	pecial forces fighters
M	II-24 attack helicopter pilots
Ι	39 attack aircraft pilots
F	-1 fighter jet pilots
M	IIG-25 fighter pilots
F	VS-27 night-vision weapon sight
M	II-24 night-vision equipment
S	hershen-D thermal sights
S	hershen-D PN-S combat module guidance devices
1	PBN86-VI Metis-M thermal sights

Commodity
Konkurs thermal sights
1PN79-1 Kornet thermal sights

D. Defendant's Conspiracy to Use and to Transfer Anti-Aircraft Missiles

Searches of defendant's digital devices and his email account yielded copious evidence that, between 2013 and 2015, defendant conspired to transfer and to use anti-aircraft missiles. Based on that evidence, Count Three of the FSI charged defendant with violating 18 U.S.C. § 2332g.

The evidence at trial included numerous written communications reflecting defendant's conspiracy to use, buy, sell, and transfer many hundreds of anti-aircraft missiles, including highly sophisticated vehicle-borne systems capable of tracking and destroying an airplane hundreds of miles away; agile man-portable systems that were easily transferred and simple to use; and stationary missile systems capable of launching multiple warheads. It further included representative bank records showing a snapshot of the money that changed hands in defendant's various illegal arms and mercenary transactions.

In addition to defendant's efforts to transfer surface-to-air missiles, defendant also conspired to use surface-to-air missiles. Specifically, the evidence showed that defendant engaged in and profited from multiple transactions involving the provision of mercenary anti-aircraft missile specialists to shoot down airplanes over Libya. That evidence included many of defendant's own communications on those transactions, and those documents were corroborated by the video-recorded testimony of three co-conspirators

involved in one such deal. Specifically, the government offered the recorded testimony of two anti-aircraft missile operators and a fellow arms broker who participated in one of defendant's many illegal deals and to whom defendant offered a \$50,000 bonus if they were successful in shooting down an airplane.

These specialists, who were desperate enough to risk their lives fighting in a bloody civil war on another continent, received a relative pittance for their services, while defendant pocketed several times what the mercenaries themselves were promised. (Compare Trial Exhibit 426 (defendant allocated \$50,000 total for three Quadrat surface-to-air missile specialists for Libya), with Trial Exhibits 819-820 (defendant charged his Libyan customer \$185,000 for services of the same Quadrat surface-to-air missile crew)) One of the Igla missile operators whose recorded testimony was shown at trial, Zurab Partsakhashvili, testified that he needed the money from this mercenary job to pay for his child's cancer surgery. Another missile operator, Gia Devidze, testified that he needed the money to address his family's desperate financial situation. Both missile operators testified that they received only a small portion of the money they were promised — which was itself a meager fraction of the money that defendant and his fellow brokers pocketed from the missile operators' risk and labor. (See also Trial Exhibits 819-20; Trial Exhibit 422 (as defendant and his coconspirator discuss the allocation of defendant's funds and where to

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

find an additional \$9,000, the co-conspirator advised that they could "deduct" it from the money paid to the missile operator)) 10

III. GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING POSITION AND RECOMMENDATION

A. Sentencing Guidelines Calculations

1. The Applicable Guidelines

The applicable guidelines section for Counts 1 (Arms Export Control Act) and 2 (Smuggling) of the Indictment and Counts 1 (Conspiracy) and 2 (Arms Export Control Act) of the First Superseding Indictment is USSG 2M5.2. The applicable guidelines section for Counts 3 and 4 (Money Laundering) of the Indictment is USSG 2S1.1. The applicable guidelines section for Count 3 (Conspiracy to Use and to Transfer Missile Systems Designed to Destroy Aircraft) of the FSI is USSG 2K2.1.

On April 1, 2019, defendant filed objections to the PSR, including an objection to the grouping of the missile offense with the other crimes. The prosecution does not object to defendant's request for the offenses not to group. Should the Court follow defendant's request not to group the offenses, the government submits that the following Sentencing Guidelines should apply:

Group 1 (anti-aircraft missiles)

Base Offense Level	18	[USSG § 2K2.1(a)(5)]
Offense involving missiles	+15	[USSG § 2K2.1(b)(3)(A)]

Other aggravating examples of defendant's underhanded and deceitful business practices abound. As another illustration of his willingness to take advantage of the desperate mercenaries who were risking their lives to line defendant's pockets, defendant deliberately crafted his mercenary contracts to be governed by the laws of Serbia — a country with no nexus to defendant, the work, or the mercenaries — for the express reason that Serbian law made it illegal to work as a mercenary, and thus no one would ever be able to challenge the contract in court. (See e.g. Exhibits 723, 728)

More than 200 missiles	+10	[USSG § 2K2.1(b)(1)(E)]

Total offense level	43	
Group 2 (other arms traff:	icking offe	enses and money laundering)
Base offense level	26	[USSG § 2M5.2(a)(1)]
Money laundering	+2	[USSG § 2S1.1(b)(2)(B)]
Total offense level	28	

2. Government's Response to Defendant's Objections to the PSR

On April 1, 2019, defendant filed objections to the PSR. The following are defendant's remaining objections and the government's responses thereto.

Defendant objects that the part of the PSR describing defendant's Offense Conduct should be limited to the factual basis in his guilty plea to Counts One through Four of the Indictment and Counts One and Two of the FSI, because defendant maintains his innocence to Count Three of the FSI. This objection is meritless. Defendant's guilt on Count Three of the FSI was determined by a jury, and the USPO would have been delinquent in its duty to prepare a report to assist the Court at sentencing had it not specified all of the conduct for which defendant has been adjudged guilty. Moreover, the Court is not limited to the very narrow facts selected by defendant in his admissions of guilt to the first six counts, but must broadly consider the nature of the offense, the history and

¹¹ In addition, defendant raised other objections, which are discussed below.

characteristics of defendant, and other factors in determining an appropriate sentence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

2.0

2.1

2.4

2.7

b. Objection to Paragraphs 38 through 59

Defendant objects to the application of the 10-level increase under USSG 2K2.1(b)(1)(E) on the grounds that the offense involved "only two surface-to-air missiles" and he did not agree to sell, nor did he have available, 200 or more surface-to-air missiles. The evidence admitted at trial disproved defendant's claim.

Citing to a communication in which defendant offered to sell 400 surface-to-air missiles which were "available for immediate shipment," the PSR accurately concludes that a ten-level increase is warranted because the offense involved 200 or more missiles. Id.

¶ 44. With respect to the number of anti-aircraft missiles involved in defendant's offense, the following additional evidence from trial is further illuminating:

- Exhibit 312: A list of weapons provided by defendant to a co-conspirator in October 2013 for transfer to defendant's customer in Erbil, Iraq, included "30 + 300" Igla 9K38 surface-to-air missiles.
- Exhibit 316: On July 3, 2014, defendant offered to sell 95 Igla surface-to-air missiles to a customer in Iraq at \$88,300 each.
- Exhibit 318: On July 30, 2014, defendant offered to sell to the Ministry of Defence in Saudi Arabia 400 Strela surface-to-air missiles at \$75,830 each and 95 Igla surface-to-air missiles at \$77,375 each
- Exhibit 329: On December 23, 2014, defendant emailed with a co-conspirator about the transfer of 25 Osa surface-to-air missiles and one Pechora surface-to-air missile system to defendant's customer in Libya.
- Exhibits 334-344: These exhibits include defendant's January 2015 communications with co-conspirators involving an end-user certificate that reflects defendant's role as

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

27

the supplier of 50 Igla 9M313 surface-to-air missiles to a militant faction in Libya, and an ultimate payment by defendant relating to weapons listed on that end-user certificate.

- Exhibit 346: This communication from defendant to a coconspirator discussed the prospective sale of a variety of weapons and munitions including 500 Igla 9M342 surface-toair missile systems, 1500 ground power units for Igla systems, and 20 launcher mechanisms for Igla systems.
- Exhibit 349: This communication from defendant to a coconspirator identified a large volume of weapons and ammunition intended for use in an end-user certificate "for our agreed country," including "6 Anti-air Defence System with Missile". 12

Accordingly, as found by the USPO, defendant's offense involved well over 199 missiles, justifying the ten-level increase prescribed by U.S.S.G. \S 2K2.1(b)(1)(E).

Objection to Paragraphs 60-61

Defendant objects to the facts reflecting his involvement in the trade of black-market uranium and counterfeit currency on the ground that no related evidence was presented at trial. The government intends to offer evidence of these facts at the sentencing hearing, including by the exhibits attached hereto and through the foundational testimony of HSI Special Agent Matthew Peterson.

- The Court Should Impose a Sentence Including a Prison Term В. of More Than 25 Years Based on the Factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)
 - Nature, Circumstances, and Severity of the Offenses 1.

Missile systems designed to destroy aircraft, like those defendant conspired to transfer and use, are governed by a separate

¹² For purposes of this summary, the government cites to unique references to anti-aircraft missiles and does not include the many instances in which the same or a similar list was circulated to multiple parties.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

statute with a 25-year mandatory minimum sentence because they are extremely dangerous and devastatingly effective. Some, like the shoulder-fired versions included in defendant's portfolio, are highly portable, easily smuggled across borders, relatively inexpensive, easy to fire, effective at a range of altitudes, and readily transferrable among militant groups who may use them against both military and civilian targets to further their political or ideological goals. Even in the absence of the mandatory minimum applicable in this case, a prison term of more than 25 years is warranted.

At the sentencing hearing, if permitted by the Court, the government intends to offer testimony from an expert in surface-toair missiles detailing the dangers that illegal proliferation of these weapons presents to civilian aircraft, as well as to U.S. military targets. That testimony will include unclassified information regarding historical uses of anti-aircraft missiles against civilian targets, including commercial airliners. As the legislative history of 18 U.S.C. § 2332g shows, Congress expressly recognized in enacting this statute that anti-aircraft missiles are a serious threat to commercial aviation that carry the potential to easily kill vast numbers of people. See, e.g., 150 Cong. Rec. S11939-01, 150 Cong. Rec. 150 Cong. Rec. S11939-01, 150 Cong. Rec. S11939-01, S11997, 2004 WL 2812449 ("MANPADS are portable, lightweight, surface-to-air missile systems designed to take down aircraft. Typically they are able to be carried and fired by a single individual. They are small and thus relatively easy to conceal and smuggle. A single attack could kill hundreds of persons in the air and many more on the ground."); id. at S11998-99 ("A 2000

State Department report stated that 'one of the leading causes of loss of life in commercial aviation worldwide has been from MANPADS.

. . attacks, with over 30 aircraft lost.' According to a Congressional Research Service report issued last year, there have been at least 36 known missile attacks on commercial planes in the last 25 years; 35 of those incidents took place in war-torn areas, mainly in Africa.")

Details on many past incidents in which commercial airliners were targeted, often successfully, by anti-aircraft missiles are available on open-source media. 13

Further compounding defendant's prolific activities relating to the transfer and use of anti-aircraft missiles, his conduct is also aggravated by the sheer volume of other weapons and ammunition in which defendant trafficked over the years. Beyond anti-aircraft missiles, defendant's trade included bulk quantities of anti-tank missiles, rockets, mortars, grenades, and the launchers therefor; machine guns of various sizes; sniper rifles, assault rifles, pistols, and other small arms; night-vision equipment and other sensitive military technology; attack aircraft; tanks, radar systems; mercenary fighters; and many millions of rounds of ammunition. The

^{22 13} See, e.g.,

https://www.washingtonpost.com/posteverything/wp/2014/07/18/missiles-are-now-so-advanced-that-its-amazing-more-planes-havent-been-shot-down/?utm_term=.e14a9b1e3b88 (last accessed May 5, 2019) (attached as Exhibit 1100); https://nypost.com/2014/07/23/missiles-threaten-civilian-planes-all-over-the-world/ (last accessed May 5, 2019) (attached as Exhibit 1101);

https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/24/world/europe/russia-malaysia-airlines-ukraine-missile.html; http://time.com/3002171/malaysia-airlines-ukraine-crash-airliners-shot-down/ (last accessed May 5, 2019 (attached as Exhibit 1102);

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List of airliner shootdown incidents (last accessed May 5, 2019 (attached as Exhibit 1103).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

sheer scope and volume of these brokering activities underscores defendant's own professed willingness to sell anything, anywhere, to anyone.

This is not a regulatory offense. Defendant did not merely neglect to register as an arms broker or as a missile salesman. U.S. law regulates the brokering and transfer of weapons by U.S. citizens even overseas because those transfers threaten U.S. and allied forces abroad, upset the balance of strategic alliances, and otherwise compromise U.S. national interests. Moreover, U.S. law implements the nation's international commitments, including, as is specifically applicable in this case, the nation's commitment to enforce a United Nation's arms embargo on Libya during the bloodiest days of that country's ongoing civil war. It is not the prerogative of private citizens to decide which governments and militant factions around the world to arm with missiles and tanks and machine guns and fighter jets. Defendant knew that his black-market weapons business was illegal, which explains his regular practice of using cover documentation to mask the true nature of the illicit weapons in which he dealt.

2. History and Characteristics of the Defendant

a. Defendant's Motive of Greed and Wanton Disregard for Human Life

Unlike some missile-trafficking defendants charged in other cases who were motivated by ideology, defendant is a true mercenary. As is abundantly clear from the global breadth of his vast market, and as he stated in his own words, his motive for trafficking in massive quantities of devastating weapons and ammunition across the globe was simple greed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In recorded conversations with the undercover agent, defendant displayed a chilling indifference to the heavy human cost of the arms-trafficking business that lined his pockets. The night before his arrest, defendant explained that he engaged in willful blindness about where the deadly weapons from which he profited were used, and against whom. (Exhibit 1104 at 50-52) Defendant noted that he did not want to knowingly be a part of killing civilians — or at least of "Arab refugees" — but with the notable caveat that if he sold weapons to Saudi Arabia and Saudi Arabia then transshipped his weapons for use in armed conflicts in Yemen and Syria that involved heavy civilian casualties, "that's their business." Id. As defendant summarized it, "That's my rules on Saudi Arabia." Id. 14 Defendant chose to operate by those "rules" when selling and brokering countless machine guns and mortars and automatic rifles across the globe. That defendant equally applied these "rules" to weapons as dangerous to civilian targets and as coveted by terrorist groups as man-portable anti-aircraft missile systems is additional aggravating evidence justifying a strong sentence.

On the morning of his arrest, defendant described an enthusiasm for war that doubtless was not shared by those bearing the bloody brunt of his illegal weapons proliferation in war-torn corners of the world. In the hours before his arrest, defendant had this to say:

I wake up every day in the morning. First two things I do at the same time, coffee, the cigarette is ready. I go to the TV and press on the news. I go on news. If there is peace I go [to sleep], if there is war I wake up. I'm happy. There is more business for me. It doesn't matter where is the business, where is the war. Even if it's in

¹⁴ At the sentencing hearing, the government intends to play the recording of these and other statements by defendant referenced herein. For each, the transcript is attached hereto for the Court's convenience.

Haiti I will fly there. I love war because it's business, 1 you know. 2 (Exhibit 1105.) 3 Defendant's Relevant Conduct 4 Defendant's Pursuit of Black-Market Uranium (A) 5 During the course of defendant's charged arms-trafficking 6 activities, he was also involved in the trade of black-market 7 uranium, a critical component in the development of nuclear weapons 8 and dirty bombs. In a text exchange beginning on June 4, 2015, 9 defendant engaged in the following conversation: 10 Will you be interested in uranat in Niger Jayjay: 11 . . . I mean uranium. 12 Yes but the French are controlling it in Defendant: 13 Niger and I have somebody from China. 14 This from black market. It will be suplied Jayjay: outside Niger. The people are here in Benin. 15 It is very serious. Think about The 16 people doing are also from Niger. They are very powerfull 17 Defendant: I don't understand are you selling the uranium or 18 are you offering the mining opportunity 19 It is selling business in the black not Jayjay: officially. But the minister of mines is 20 involved, top secret. 21 Defendant: How much per M/Ton¹⁵ 22 I do not know yet since I did not know whether Jayjay: 23 you might be interested. Now that you make me know, i will find out and come back to you. 24 Soon while i am in China Defendant: 25 OK sir Jayjay: 26 27

¹⁵ The term "M/Ton" appears to refer to "metric tonne," a unit commonly used to measure a quantity of uranium.

1 | . .

Defendant: Any news about uranium?

(Exhibit 1106) Moreover, defendant's known interest in the blackmarket trade of uranium dates back to 2012, when he sent a message to
a co-conspirator reporting that "900 g of Uranium the free army of

Syria trying to move it in exchange for arms." (Exhibit 1107)

Defendant's pursuit of black-market uranium is deeply troubling. According to one notable nuclear expert: "There's no plausible reason for looking for black-market uranium other than for nuclear weapons—or profit, by selling to people who are looking to make nuclear weapons."

https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2012/12/10/georgia-nuke-investigations/1757963/ (last accessed May 5, 2019) (attached as Exhibit 1108). This related conduct exemplifies defendant's own professed lack of regard for the potentially catastrophic consequences of his illegal weapons-proliferation activities, and his acknowledged interest in profit at the expense of human life and safety. It serves as a particularly strong aggravating factor in this case.

(B) Defendant's Counterfeit Currency Operations
Possibly to fuel his illegal weapons-trafficking business, or
perhaps as another means to obtain easy illicit profits, the evidence
suggests that defendant engaged in lucrative counterfeit currency
operations during the time of the charged conduct. In the spring of
2014, defendant gave one of his business partners his detailed,
seasoned advice on the mechanics of counterfeiting:

(Co-Conspirator): I have a Buyer that is interested to buy USD and old Deutsch Marks that are still on the full sheets of paper, not cut. . . .

This full sheets of USD had been delivered to Iran, Irak (sic) long time ago and still not used. But, my opinion is, if you ask the right powerful people in these countries, they will tell you a lot more about where to find them.

your friend is looking for the papers which he can change it to Euro & Dollars

(Co-Conspirator): Uncut sheets of USD, nominal can be \$20, \$50, \$100

It's a white pepper money note each one is the same size of the 500 Euro other ones same size of the 100 Euro also we have the one for the 100 USD, if you look at it through the light you will be able to see the serial number like a shadow you add chemicals to it and you use original money to copy the exact shape each 1 (500 Euro makes 2 more of the white one)

(Exhibit 1109)

Defendant:

Defendant:

Multiple photographs obtained from court-authorized searches of defendant's digital devices depict bulk quantities of \$100 bills, $\in 200$ notes, and $\in 500$ notes. (Exhibits 1110-1114)

(C) Defendant's Pursuit of a Counterfeit Passport

For several months in 2015, during the offense conduct and shortly before his arrest, defendant acquired a sophisticated counterfeit Ukrainian travel document using a false name, false date of birth, a false marriage, and false parentage in order to conceal and facilitate his illegal conduct and obscure his true identity.

Moreover, defendant separately explored the possibility of obtaining, for \$20,000, a biometrically enabled passport. The evidence includes documents reflecting the following:

 $^{^{16}}$ This presumably refers to "white paper." All quotations from exhibits are verbatim, including spelling and typographical errors.

• Exhibit 1115: On July 2, 2015, defendant and his business partner Sergiu Banari (referenced in the First Superseding Indictment ("FSI") as Unidentified Co-Conspirator #3) engaged in a text exchange in which Banari provided the following verbatim price list for defendant's fraudulent document:

Only international - 12,000\$
Only international biometric 13500\$
Full complect your name: international + local = 15000\$
Full complect new name. = 20000\$

- Exhibit 1116: Shortly thereafter, Banari reported to defendant the "best news in the world" that defendant "will have your new P..." by the end of August, and advised defendant to "choose your name, or if you want I can do it."
- Exhibit 1117: On July 3, 2015, Banari advised defendant by email that defendant's fictitious identity would include the false name "Roman Tarasovici Boico," a made-up mother's name "Hristina Nicolaevna Kostiuc," and a made-up father's name "Taras Vasilievic Boico." Defendant replied that he would "have to go back to school to remember those names."
- Exhibit 1118: On July 4, 2015, Banari told defendant that his Ukrainian contacts recommended that defendant choose a fictitious Arabic name, and manufacture a fictitious Ukrainian marriage, to account for defendant's inability to speak the native language. Defendant replied with a "thumbs up" emoji and told Banari that defendant sent the names to him.
- Exhibit 1119: That day, defendant sent Banari an email with the subject header "Name." The text indicated the name defendant had chosen for his fraudulent travel document as "Rony Youssef Karam." It further indicated false names for defendant's mother and father and a false date of birth.
- Exhibits 1120-21: On September 10, 2015, Banari texted defendant asking him to send a "very simple signature according to the name we choose: Rony Youssef Karam." Defendant replied with photographs of several different handwritten signatures reflecting his fictitious name. The same day, defendant also sent Banari an email entitled "Hello from Roney" with an attachment of one of his signatures using the false name.

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

- Exhibit 1122: On October 25, 2015, Banari sent defendant an email forwarding defendant's fraudulent certificate of marriage and fraudulent certificate to receive internal passport.
- Exhibit 1123: On October 27, 2015, Banari sent defendant a scanned copy of defendant's new false Ukrainian travel document bearing defendant's photograph and the fictitious name and date of birth that defendant selected.

Defendant's willingness to go to substantial lengths to obtain this fraudulent travel document in order to facilitate and conceal his criminal activity further aggravates that criminality.

(D) Defendant's Involvement in Other Illegal Activity

Defendant's fortune-seeking also led him to pursue deals involving other illegal commodities, including looted antiquities, which draw can massive profits on the black market. Defendant's digital devices contained multiple photographs of apparent antiquities, including a photo of defendant holding an artifact next to a dated newspaper — a common practice for establishing proof of possession on a particular date. (Exhibit 1124). Antiquities looting is frequently concentrated in areas of armed conflict, and the black-market traffic in cultural artifacts is often closely linked to financing those conflicts and arming combatants. e.g., Fabiani, Michelle D., "Disentangling Strategic and Opportunistic Looting: The Relationship between Antiquities Looting and Armed Conflict in Egypt," MDPI, June 14, 2018, last accessed February 12, 2019, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0752/7/2/22/pdf (attached as Exhibit 1125); see also Pineda, Sam, "Tackling Illicit Trafficking of Antiquities and its Ties to Terrorist Financing," Dipnote, U.S. Department of State Official Blog, June 20, 2018, last accessed February 12, 2019,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

https://blogs.state.gov/stories/2018/06/20/en/tackling-illicittrafficking-antiquities-and-its-ties-terrorist-financing (attached as
Exhibit 1126).

Evidence from defendant's digital devices and email account also demonstrates his involvement in the black-market trafficking of diamonds and his use of diamonds to mask and fund illegal arms transactions. This evidence includes a September 29, 2015 email to defendant from a South Africa entity known as AA Diamonds attaching a quote for sniper rifles, pistols, silencers, and ammunition. The following day, on September 30, 2015, defendant (Exhibit 863) received another email from AA Diamonds with specifications for four MI-24V helicopter gunships, fully armed with GSH-23L aircraft guns, machine guns, submachine guns, pistols with silencers, and other armaments. (Exhibit 864.) On October 9, 2015, defendant forwarded to his business partner an invoice from AA Diamonds purportedly reflecting the purchase of a 4.7-carat polished diamond for \$200,000, and a November 5 email to defendant from his business partner contains a SWIFT record of the transfer of \$20,000 from their company to AA Diamonds as a down payment on that invoice. (Exhibits 865-66.) On October 10, 2015, in a call with the undercover agent, defendant confirmed that he was involved in laundering diamonds from South Africa for arms, saying that "I can change diamonds to dollars." (Exhibit 1127) Defendant's involvement in these illegal activities is further corroborated by a photograph stored on defendant's digital devices depicting a very large, uncut diamond. (Exhibits 1128-1130) Black market diamonds are another lucrative commodity closely linked to the fueling and financing of armed conflicts and the illegal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

proliferation of weapons and munitions. <u>See, e.g.</u>, "The Role of Diamonds in Fuelling Conflict," United Nations General Assembly A/71/L.55, January 27, 2017, last accessed February 12, 2019, https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/858195/files/A 71 L-55-EN.pdf (attached as Exhibit 1131).

c. Defendant's False Statements to USPO

Even in the wake of his strategic eleventh-hour guilty pleas and his conviction at trial, defendant seeks to minimize his illegal arms-trafficking activities. Notwithstanding the reams of evidence of defendant's deep involvement in the illegal brokering and trafficking of weapons over the course of many years, defendant reported to the USPO that "he has never seen or touched any military equipment, including an AK-47," and claimed that his access to weapons was so curtailed that he was limited to learning about them through online research. Defendant's statements are belied by the reams of evidence at trial and further referenced in the attached exhibit, which includes defendant's detailed discussions and negotiations relating to hundreds of weapons systems and other military articles and services. His statements to the USPO are also visually belied by two photographs, obtained from defendant's digital devices, in which defendant is pictured in a store-like setting, standing directly in front of a display of numerous assault rifles, holding a large-caliber ammunition round, and pretending to smoke the round like a cigar. (Exhibits 1132-1133)

3. Avoidance of Sentencing Disparities

In every one of the handful of cases resulting in a conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 2332g of which the government is aware, the defendant has received a sentence of at least 25 years. See United

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

States v. Hammadi, 737 F.3d 1043, 1046 (6th Cir. 2013) (life imprisonment on 2332g count); United States v. Bout, 731 F.3d 233, 236-37 (2d Cir. 2013) (25 years on 2332g count); United States v. Cromitie, 727 F.3d 194, 204 (2d Cir. 2013) (25 years for each of four defendants (Cromitie, D. Williams, O. Williams, and Payen)); United States v. Al-Kassar, 660 F.3d 108, 117 (2d Cir. 2011) (30 years for one defendant (Al-Kassar); 25 years each for two other defendants (Al-Ghazi and Moreno-Godoy)); United States v. Garavito-Garcia, 2015 WL 13708830, *2 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) (25 years); United States v. Pouryan, 628 Fed. Appx. 18, 20 (2d Cir. 2015) (unreported decision) (25 years for each of two defendants (Pouryan and Orbach)); United States v. Chen, 526 Fed. Appx. 772, 775 (9th Cir. 2013) (unreported decision) (25 years). Even absent the 25-year mandatory minimum now required under 18 U.S.C. § 2332g, at least one court has upheld a sentence much longer than 25 years for conspiring to traffic anti-aircraft missiles before that statute was enacted. See United States v. Lakhani, 480 F.3d 171, 185 (3rd Cir. 2007) (affirming 47-year sentence for 71-year old defendant who had a 19-year history of productive assistance to U.S. law enforcement). The government is unaware of any of these courts, or any court anywhere, having expressed reluctance or discomfort with the propriety of a sentence of 25 years or longer for a defendant convicted of trafficking in and use of anti-aircraft missiles under 18 U.S.C. § 2332g.

Even without regard to the applicable mandatory minimum, a sentence of more than 25 years is warranted in this case. The sheer volume of anti-aircraft missiles that defendant sought to transfer to militants operating in the shadows of unstable parts of the world alone justifies this conclusion. (Compare, e.g., Trial Exhibit 318,

in which defendant offered 400 Strela anti-aircraft missiles and 95 Igla anti-aircraft missiles from his existing stock to various entities in multiple countries; Trial Exhibit 312, in which defendant conspired to transfer "30 + 300" Igla surface-to-air missiles to Erbil, Iraq; and Trial Exhibits 334-343, in which defendant conspired to transfer 50 Igla surface-to-air missiles to the Libya Dawn militant faction; with Hammadi, wherein the defendant was convicted of attempting to transfer two shoulder-fired surface-to-air missiles and sentenced to life imprisonment.)

Moreover, unlike in any other 2332g case of which the prosecution team is aware, the evidence suggests that at least one of defendant's anti-aircraft missile deals came to fruition. Trial Exhibits 334-342 detailed the creation of a Libya Dawn end-user certificate for munitions including 50 Igla surface-to-air missiles. Trial Exhibits 343 and 344 indicated that defendant's co-conspirator, David Shikhashvili (who continued to do business with defendant after this deal), sent defendant an invoice related to this end-user certificate.

The types of missiles with which defendant operated is further aggravating. As established at trial, the highly sophisticated Russian vehicle-borne S-400 system, which can hunt down and destroy an airplane hundreds of miles away and sells for billions of dollars, is tightly controlled by the Russian government and monitored by U.S. authorities because it can change the balance of power in a region, threaten existing government systems, and alter foreign policy. The protracted efforts by defendant, a private U.S. citizen, to broker the sale of this highly consequential system to a government in the Middle East without regard to the impact on U.S. national interests,

2.4

alliances, diplomacy, and foreign policy renders his conduct even more serious than the statute and the guidelines reflect. Moreover, defendant did not stop at merely proliferating these weapons; he conspired to use them to alter the balance of a foreign war.

C. The Court Should Impose a Fine of \$300,000 After Defendant's Attorneys Have Been Fairly Compensated for Their Services

Based on defendant's representations to USPO, defendant has the ability to pay a fine of \$300,000 within 90 days of sentencing. PSR ¶ 99. According to the PSR, defendant's brother sold a piece of land for \$300,000 to pay for defendant's expenses, including the fees of his retained attorneys. Id. ¶ 97. The government respectfully submits that a fine of \$300,000 should be imposed. Should defendant produce to the Court evidence (including bank records, receipts, and itemized billing records) satisfactorily demonstrating that payment to his attorneys has depleted the \$300,000 fund described in the PSR, the fine should be adjusted accordingly to reflect defendant's diminished ability to pay it.

IV. GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SENTENCING POSITION

Defendant's sentencing position attempts to paint him as an incompetent bumbler who tried to make it in the illegal arms business but was simply unable to close a deal. See Def. Sentencing

Memorandum at 11-12 ("for over five years, Ghanem solicited others for the sale of surface-to-air missiles without being able to consummate one deal during this time"; "Ghanem has proven to be a poor negotiator and facilitator of these types of deals"). As described above, defendant signed and executed a formal contract to broker a quarter billion dollars in arms and ammunition, along with other high-volume contracts and agreements. He and his companies are

named on end-user certificates and official offers as the supplier of massive quantities of munitions. His marketing materials repeatedly offered to provide his substantial customer base with a limitless array of weapons, ammunition, and mercenary services. His email communications reflect a nearly constant flow of communications with fellow black-market arms brokers over the years, negotiating quantities and models and calibers and prices, and arranging for the logistics of transport and concealment of the illegal loads.

Defendant's claim of incompetence and lack of follow-through is not credible. In the high stakes world of black-market arms trafficking, had defendant failed to deliver on his offers and promises as reflected in the evidence, his suppliers and customers and fellow brokers would have (at best) ceased to do business with him. They did not; the evidence illuminates that defendant conducted many deals through the years with the same parties.

Defendant also requests credit for his purported good works, including rescuing two U.S. business men who were arrested by the Libyan militant faction that defendant supported. Defendant reports that he valiantly worked his contacts there to "save[] their lives." In a darkly ironic twist, defendant estimates that the same Libya Dawn faction that he supported with vast quantities of arms and ammunition and mercenary services would have otherwise killed these innocent American hostages. For this, he now demands credit for his humanitarian efforts.

Defendant's self-proclaimed "goodwill" in working with his
Libyan contacts to free these two men from their ruthless captors is
decidedly less noble when viewed in tandem with a similar episode in
which his influence was sought to secure the release of hostages in

In late 2015, two Serbian diplomats were taken hostage by ISIS¹⁷ in Libya after their diplomatic convoy, which included the Serbian ambassador, was ambushed. https://www.nytimes.com/2016/02/21/world/middleeast/serbian-hostageskilled-in-us-airstrikes-against-isis-in-libya.html (last accessed May 5, 2019) (attached as Exhibit 1134). On November 25, 2015, in the course of negotiations about an ongoing arms deal, defendant's Serbian business contact asked for defendant's help in securing the release of the hostages. (Exhibit 609.) After urging the Serbian arms broker to "finish this deal ASAP" to satisfy defendant's customer, defendant agreed that he could help secure the release of these hostages from ISIS — but only for the right price. Id. (Defendant: "For the 2 hostages in Libya for sure I can help, what's in it for me?"). A few months later, these hostages were killed in an airstrike on an ISIS training camp. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/feb/20/us-airstrikes-libyaserbian-embassy-staffers-killed (last accessed May 5, 2019) (attached as Exhibit 1135).

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the government respectfully submits that an appropriate sentence for defendant in this case is a term of imprisonment of more than 25 years, five years of supervised release, a fine of \$300,000, and a \$700 mandatory assessment.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

 $^{^{17}}$ ISIS, or the Islamic State of Iraq and al Sham, is designated by the U.S. Department of State as a foreign terrorist organization.